

**BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL
WESTERN ZONE BENCH, PUNE
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 87 OF 2025**

IN THE MATTER OF:-

Rajendra Shah

...Applicant

VERSUS

State of Gujarat & Ors.

...Respondents

INDEX

| SR. NO. | DOCUMENT | PG. NO. |
|------------|--|------------|
| 1. | Objections on behalf of the Applicant to the Comprehensive Report of the Joint Committee | 1-15 |
| 2. | <u>ANNEXURE O-1</u> A true copy of the order dated 12.01.2022 passed by the Special Bench of this Hon'ble Tribunal in O.A. No. 16/2020 (WZ) | 16-18 |
| 3. | <u>ANNEXURE O-2</u> A true copy of the order dated 07.11.2022 passed by the Western Zone Bench of this Hon'ble Tribunal in O.A. No. 57/2020 (WZ) | 19-44 |
| 4. | Application for condonation of delay in filing the objections to the comprehensive report of the joint committee | 45-47 |
| 5. | Proof of service | 48-49 |


Date: 30.01.2026

Place: Pune



**RUSHABH N. KAPADIA
ADVOCATE FOR THE APPLICANT**

5, Balgayatri Society-2,
Nr. Shyamal Crossroads,
Satellite, Ahmedabad-380015
+91-9427303369
rnkapadialaw@gmail.com



BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL

WESTERN ZONE BENCH, PUNE

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 87 OF 2025 (WZ)

IN THE MATTER OF:-

Rajendra Shah

...Applicant

VERSUS

State of Gujarat & Ors.

...Respondents

**OBJECTIONS ON BEHALF OF THE APPLICANT TO THE
COMPREHENSIVE REPORT BY THE JOINT COMMITTEE**

I, Rajendra Shah, aged 72 years, R/o. E-502, Sadguru Vatika, Airport Road, Rajkot-360001, Gujarat, do hereby on solemn affirmation state as under:

1. That I am the Applicant in the present Original Application and being conversant with the facts and circumstances of the present case, I am competent to swear the present affidavit.
2. It is submitted that upon my letter dated 07.02.2025, the Principal Bench of this Hon'ble Tribunal has taken a cognizance of the complaint about illegal shrimp farms and the activity of illegal shrimp

farming going on at a large-scale in the coastal region of the District of Surat, Gujarat. A report of a joint committee prepared in association with me was called for by this Hon'ble Tribunal by its order dated 09.07.2025 in O.A. No. 305/2025. The matter was ordered to be transferred to the Western Zone Bench of this Hon'ble Tribunal vide the same order and, upon transfer, the matter is registered as O.A. No. 87/2025 (WZ) before the Western Zone Bench of this Hon'ble Tribunal.

3. Pursuant to the said order dated 09.07.2025, a "Comprehensive Report of the Joint Committee" is submitted by the Regional Officer, Gujarat Pollution Control Board, Surat, vide a forwarding letter dated 11.09.2025.
4. I have gone through the said report, and I submit my objections and submissions vide the present affidavit. It is submitted that the departmental submissions are not shared with me before submitting the Comprehensive Report of the Joint Committee. Had they been shared with me, I could have indicated the discrepancies and deficiencies in the said submissions and could have assisted the joint committee in preparing a proper report.



5. I was present in the meeting dated 14.08.2025 of the joint committee, and I have no objection as regards the factual assertions regarding the contents of the meeting and the site visits on the said date. It is, however, submitted that my letter dated 07.02.2025 did not mention any specific locations or villages. The letter only mentioned Majura Taluka and Olpad Taluka. In fact, the joint committee also appears to have taken into consideration my letter dated 18.11.2024 (produced at pg. 14 to 17 of the report), wherein more than one village of the aforesaid two Taluka are mentioned. The comprehensive report of the joint committee, at para 4.1, also records that I had clearly mentioned that such encroachments exist in 23 villages of Olpad and Choryasi-Majura Taluka. No site visits are done by the joint committee at all the said villages. Thus, the report is incomplete and this Hon'ble Tribunal should issue directions to inspect and make a report regarding all the coastal villages of the District of Surat, Gujarat.

6. As recorded in para 4, the Fisheries Department, Surat, the data of 419 shrimp farm ponds in the District of Surat, Gujarat, includes those shrimp farm ponds that are registered, previously registered but not yet renewed, and not yet registered. I have analyzed the





entire data, as produced at pg. 26 to 127 of the comprehensive report of the joint committee. The following are my findings:

- a. Only a few shrimp farms are functioning on an existing lease and possess currently effective registration.
- b. Several shrimp farms have their leases expired.
- c. Several shrimp farms do not even have a lease.
- d. Several shrimp farms have applied for a lease but have not yet been granted one.
- e. Several shrimp farms have their registrations expired.
- f. Several shrimp farms do not even have a registration.
- g. Several shrimp farms have applied for registration but have not yet been granted the registration.
- h. Several shrimp farms have not even applied for registration.
- i. Several shrimp farms have a situation combined of two or more of the situations mentioned in (b) to (h) above.

It is submitted that all the shrimp farms that fall within the situation (b) to (i) are illegal and cannot be allowed to operate. My advocate will indicate specific entries where various aforesaid situations are revealed from the comprehensive report of the joint committee. It is necessary to destroy all such shrimp farms. This Hon'ble Tribunal should issue directions to that effect.





Hon'ble Tribunal should also direct the authorities to ascertain the amount of environmental damage caused by various proponents by running illegal shrimp farms and appropriately recover the environmental compensation from such persons based on the principle of "polluter pays."

11. It is also required to be noted that the report of the DILR, Surat, is of the year 2020, which is made basis of the comprehensive report of the joint committee. The attention of this Hon'ble Tribunal is drawn to the order dated 07.11.2022 passed by the Western Zone Bench in O.A. No. 57/2020 (WZ), wherein this Hon'ble Tribunal considered the issue of illegal shrimp farms in the District of Surat. This Hon'ble Tribunal has extracted the relevant portion of the report of the Collector, Surat, which stated that the measurement work of shrimp farms was being undertaken by the DILR, Surat, and the same would be completed by 31.01.2021, and the measurement sheets would be prepared and made available by 15.02.2021, and legal actions against the illegally operating shrimp farms would be initiated immediately thereafter. It appears that sufficient steps do not appear to have been taken despite such undertaking, otherwise the joint committee in the present case could not have found such

a large number and extent of illegal shrimp farms prevalent even as of date. Para 11 of the said order is also worth taking note of, where the actions, particularly to stop the shrimp farms. However, the resumption of shrimp farms necessitates stricter directions for stricter actions and a stipulation of punishment to or actions against the non-compliant officers. Para 15 of the said order also records that the actions under the CRZ Notification and the Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1974, were not taken, though shrimp farms are functioning without obtaining any consent under the said Act. Even at Para 17, this Hon'ble Tribunal directed to initiate actions against the illegal shrimp farm proponents and compile the details of the violators and other particulars. This Hon'ble Tribunal also took note of the deficiencies in the figures shown in the affidavit filed by the Collector, Surat, at para 18. At para 26, various directions were also issued, wherein direction (iii) required the Monitoring Committee formed under direction (i) to hold monthly meetings and ensure stopping the illegal shrimp farms immediately; and direction (iv) required the Monitoring Committee to assess, quantify and realize the environmental damage/compensation; and direction (vi) required the Monitoring Committee to submit a report to this Hon'ble Tribunal. It appears



that, despite such directions, no appropriate and serious steps are taken by the authorities to implement the directions of this Hon'ble Tribunal. Surprisingly, the comprehensive report of the joint committee is completely silent on the said order and its implementation. In fact, there is complete non-compliance of direction (iii), which is clear from para 5.1 of the comprehensive report of the joint committee. The attention of this Hon'ble Tribunal is drawn to order dated 12.01.2022 passed by the Special Bench of this Hon'ble Tribunal in O.A. No. 16/2020 (WZ), wherein also similar directions were issued for the District of Surat.

A true copy of the order dated 12.01.2022 passed by the Special Bench of this Hon'ble Tribunal in O.A. No. 16/2020 (WZ) is annexed hereto as **ANNEXURE O-1 (pages 16-18)**

A true copy of the order dated 07.11.2022 passed by the Western Zone Bench of this Hon'ble Tribunal in O.A. No. 57/2020 (WZ) is annexed hereto as **ANNEXURE O-2 (pages 19-44)**

12. As recorded in para 5.2 of the comprehensive report of the joint committee, several shrimp farms have been destroyed and a huge chunk of government land has been recovered. Still, not a single person appears to have been identified and penalized, nor does any

[Handwritten signature]

steps for recovery of environmental damages/compensation appear to have been taken. The proceedings before this Hon'ble Tribunal relates to ensuring prevention of environmental damage and also to recover environmental compensation for taking remedial measures, whereas the joint committee appears to only have undertaken process to remove encroachments, which is not the idea of the proceedings before this Hon'ble Tribunal. Therefore, appropriate directions to identify and penalize the wrongdoers and assess and recover environmental damages/compensation based on the principle of "polluter pays."


13. Para 5.2 of the comprehensive report of the joint committee records that the action of removing illegal shrimp farm is still ongoing. It is also stated that the updation of data is also being undertaken as the available data is of the year 2024. It is also stated that in some area, due to monsoon-related waterlogging, the demolition activity could not be undertaken. All such remaining steps ought to have been taken by now. Therefore, this Hon'ble Tribunal should direct the joint committee to submit an updated report regarding the said aspects.



14. As recorded in para 5.2 of the comprehensive report of the joint committee, the approach of removal of illegal shrimp farm has now been shifted to destruction of boundary walls and related structures.

This has two aspects to be considered:

- a. For the speedy breeding of shrimp and also for their growth, the polluters use certain chemicals that are poisonous. The destruction of walls and structures would lead to absorption of the chemicalized water in the soil of the surrounding lands, mostly agricultural in nature, or mixing of such water with the water of the river. This will lead to disastrous consequences for human and animal health. Therefore, appropriate steps must be taken to ensure that such chemicalized water does not spread. The expenses for ensuring the same may be recovered from the wrongdoer.
- b. The shrimps that are bred in the shrimp farms have lives in them. They become part of the environment and the biodiversity. Therefore, appropriate steps need to be taken to ensure that the shrimps bred in the illegal shrimp farm are relocated to a nearby waterbody so that they do not die. This is because the provisions of the Prevention of Cruelty to





directions, the past experience indicates that the formation of committees exclusively comprised of government officials at times delays the implementation due to their engagement with various other affairs. This is apparent from the non-implementation of the order dated 12.01.2022 passed by the Special Bench of this Hon'ble Tribunal in O.A. No. 16/2020 (WZ) and also the order dated 07.11.2022 passed by the Western Zone Bench of this Hon'ble Tribunal in O.A. No. 57/2020 (WZ). It is, therefore, submitted that a committee may be constituted comprising a retired justice of the Hon'ble Supreme Court or the Hon'ble Gujarat High Court, a prominent environmentalist, the Collector or a representative of the District Administration, a representative of the Fisheries Department, a representative of the Gujarat Pollution Control Board, and a representative of the Coastal Aquaculture Authority. This Hon'ble Tribunal should direct the said committee to supervise the task of (1) identifying and removing all the shrimp farms that are either not registered or operating without an existing lease, (2) relocating the shrimp from the illegal shrimp farms to a nearby waterbodies (3) assessing and quantifying the environmental damage and recover compensation from the proponents of such illegal shrimp farms, (4) proposing and ensuring implementation of

A handwritten signature or mark, possibly initials, located at the end of the text.

the remedial measures to restore and rehabilitate the lands over which the illegal shrimp farms are operating and also surrounding lands and water bodies that are polluted due to illegal shrimp farming activity. This Hon'ble Tribunal should also stipulate the time limit of 6 months for completion of this task and also stipulate that the expenses for the aforesaid actions be recovered from the wrongdoers.

17. It is also prayed to join the Coastal Aquaculture Authority as party respondent to the present proceedings, so that effective orders/directions can be passed/issued.
18. Before passing any further order, it is also prayed to call for a copy of the report, if any, submitted by the committee appointed vide the order dated 07.11.2022 passed by the Western Zone Bench of this Hon'ble Tribunal in O.A. No. 57/2020 (WZ) in terms of para 26.
19. I reserve my right to file further and more detailed affidavit as and when the necessity arises.
20. The present affidavit is filed *bona fide*.


DEPONENT

VERIFICATION

Verified at Rajkot on this 29th day of January, 2026 that the contents of the above affidavit are correct and true to the best of my knowledge and belief nothing material has been concealed therefrom.

L.H.T.




[Signature]
DEPONENT



**SOLEMNLY AFFIRMED
BEFORE ME**

Pabari M.G.
**M. G. PABARI
NOTARY
GOVT. OF INDIA**



SERIAL No. 75
BOOK No. 01
PAGE No. 04
RECEIPT No. 75
DATE 29/01/2026



Item No. 03

(Court No. 1)

**BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL
SPECIAL BENCH**

(By Video Conferencing)

Original Application No. 16/2020(WZ)
(I.A. No. 124/2020, I.A. No. 24/2021 & I.A. No. 69/2020)

Brackish Water Research Centre

Applicant

Versus

The MoEF & Ors.

Respondent(s)

Date of hearing: 12.01.2022

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADARSH KUMAR GOEL, CHAIRPERSON
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR AGARWAL, JUDICIAL MEMBER
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BRIJESH SETHI, JUDICIAL MEMBER
HON'BLE MR. SAIBAL DASGUPTA, EXPERT MEMBER**

Applicant: Ms. Shilpa Chohan, Advocate for Applicant

Respondent(s): Ms. Manasi Joshi, Advocate for R-1, MoEF & CC
Mr. Maulik Nanavati, Advocate for R-4 & 8

ORDER

1. Grievance in this application is against illegal shrimp farms in violation of Coastal Zone Regulation Notification and norms for protection of flood plain area of the Kim and Sena Rivers/creeks in Surat and Olpad (Bharuch) Districts of Gujrat. It is stated that illegal shrimp farms are increasing the risk of man-made floods. Gulf of Khambhat where the two rivers flow is a designated 'Critically Vulnerable Coastal Areas (CVCA)' as per CRZ, 2019, requiring special consideration.

2. Vide order dated 24.08.2020, the Tribunal issued notice to the Respondents which include Collectors of Surat and Bharuch, Gujarat Coastal Zone Management Authority (GCZMA) and State PCB.
3. Reply has been filed by the Collectors, Surat and Bharuch giving details of study undertaken for identification of shrimp farms and salt pans to decide status of compliance of CRZ and other violations. It is stated that the assessment is still not complete.
4. We have heard learned counsel for the applicant and GCZMA and perused the record.
5. Learned counsel for GCZMA submitted that in Bharuch 55 operating units were stopped but the Gujarat High Court remanded the matter for giving hearing. However, no activity is going on as on today. Some leases were given in the CRZ area wrongly which are proposed to be recalled. Action was earlier taken for violation of Land Revenue Act which was not adequate but now effective action is proposed to be taken under the CRZ Regulations.
6. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that activities are stopped at the moment as it is off season but the remedial action taken is inadequate.
7. Having considered the issue, we are of the view that for compliance of environmental Rule of Law, enforcement of CRZ Regulations needs to be assessed and remedial action ensured by a Joint Committee of GCZMA, State PCB, District Magistrates, Bharuch and Surat and Directorate of Fisheries, Gujarat. The State PCB will be the Nodal Agency for coordination and compliance. The Joint Committee may hold its first

meeting preferably within two weeks and further action in the light of information compiled may be overseen and ensured so that there is no illegal shrimp farming on the flood plains of the rivers and in violation of CRZ regulations, adversely affecting the ecology.

The application is disposed of. All I.A.s also stand disposed of.

If any grievance survives, aggrieved party may take remedies in accordance with law.

A copy of this order be forwarded to the GCZMA, State PCB, District Magistrates, Bharuch and Surat and Directorate of Fisheries, Gujarat by e-mail for compliance.

Adarsh Kumar Goel, CP

Sudhir Agarwal, JM

Brijesh Sethi, JM

Saibal Dasgupta, EM

January 12, 2022
Original Application No. 16/2020(WZ)
A

**BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL
WESTERN ZONE BENCH, PUNE**

(By Video Conferencing)

**Original Application No.57/2020(WZ)
I.A. No. 04/2021(WZ)**

IN THE MATTER OF:

Roshni B. Patel

R/o.- 105, Maheshwar Hats,
Near Tadvadi Sabji Market,
Tadvadi Rander Road Adajan
Surat -395 009, Gujarat.

.....Applicant

Versus

- 1. Union of India**
Through Secretary,
Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change.
Indira Paryawaran Bhavan,
Jor Bagh Road.
New Delhi - 110 003.
- 2. State of Gujarat**
Through the Additional Chief Secretary
Department of Environment and Forest
Block 14/8 New Sachivalaya,
Gandhinagar, Gujarat - 382 010.
- 3. Gujarat Coastal Zone Management Authority**
Through its Chairman
Department of Environment and Forest
Block 14/8 New Sachivalaya,
Gandhinagar, Gujarat - 382 010.
- 4. Central Pollution Control Board**
Through its Member Secretary
Parivesh Bhavan, East Arjun Nagar
Delhi -110 032.
- 5. Gujarat Pollution Control Board**
Through its Member Secretary,
Paryavaran Bhavan, Sector 10-A,
Gandhinagar - 382 010 (Gujarat)
- 6. Collector and District Magistrate,
Chairman, District CRZ Committee,**
Collector Office Jilla Seva Sadan-2, B wing,
5th floor, Athwalines Surat - 395 001 (Gujarat)
- 7. Coastal Aquaculture Authority**
Through its Member Secretary,

5th Floor, Integrated Office Complex
For Animal Husbandry and Fisheries Department,
Nandanam, Chennai - 600 035 (Tamil Nadu)

- 8. Secretary, Fisheries and Chairman**
State Level Coastal Aquaculture Committee.
5th Block, 2nd Floor, Sachivalaya,
Gandhinagar - 382 010 (Gujarat)
- 9. Commissioner**
Surat Municipal Corporation
Administrative Building, Muglisara,
Surat - 395 003 (Gujarat)
- 10. Secretary (Water Resources)**
Narmada, Water Resources,
Water Supply and Kalpsar Department,
Block No.9, 5th Floor, Sachivalaya,
Gandhinagar - 382 010 (Gujarat)

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Applicant:

Ms. Shilpa Chohan, Advocate

Counsel for Respondent(s):

Ms. Manasi Joshi, Advocate for R-1
Mr. Maulik Nanavati, Advocate for R-2, 3, 5, 6, 8 & 10
Mr. Rahul Garg, Advocate for R-4
Mr. Saurabh Kulkarni, Advocate for Intervener

PRESENT:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Dinesh Kumar Singh (Judicial Member)

Hon'ble Dr. Vijay Kulkarni (Expert Member)

Reserved on : 28.09.2022
Pronounced on : 07.11.2022

JUDGMENT

I.A. No. 04/2021(WZ)

1. This application has been moved by the five applicants praying that they should be impleaded in the present matter as the opposite parties as they would be aggrieved by any order which the Tribunal would be passing.

2. We have gone through the prayer as well as grounds set up in the application. No details have been given by the Applicant as to which Survey Number or area belongs to them in which they are carrying out the shrimp farming.

3. The Learned Counsel for the Applicants was given an opportunity of hearing but nothing could be shown by him as to how they would be adversely affected. Therefore, we do not find any force in the application and accordingly, this application is rejected.

Original Application No. 57/2020(WZ)

4. The present Application has been filed under Section 14, 15 read with Section 18(1) & (2) of the National Green Tribunal Act, 2010 in respect of illegal shrimp farming encroaching upon the deltaic area of River Tapi and floodplains of River Mindhola in District Surat in Villages Junagam, Sunvali, Damka, Abhva, Khajod, Budiya, Talangpor, UMBER, Dumas, Bhimpore, Kadiyabet, Bhatpore, Gabheni of Choryasi Taluka, Surat District, Gujarat in violation of the provisions of the Coastal Regulation Zone Notification, 2011 notified under Section 3 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1974 and Coastal Aquaculture Authority Act, 2005 (to be referred here-in-after in short as 'CAA') with the prayers that Respondent No.6/ Collector, Surat be directed to initiate immediate action to close down and dismantle all the commercial illegal coastal shrimp farms in the aforesaid Villages, confiscate the DG Sets, Aeration systems, water intake & disposal pipeline network, machinery, equipment and other infrastructure installed without authorization and requisite permits; to recover the

cost of dismantling unauthorized/illegal shrimp farming in and along the Tapi and Mindhola River/ Estuary and in coastal Villages of Choryasi Taluka, Surat District, Gujarat from the occupier/owner/operator of the unauthorized/illegal shrimp farms; constitute an expert committee to make assessment of the coastal environmental degradation as well as damages caused by the said activities and make a plan for environmental restoration along Tapi and Mindhola River/Estuary; recovery of the damages from the operators/occupiers of the illegal shrimp/aquaculture farms in the form of environment compensation for restitution and improvement of destroyed mangroves, restoration of blocked creeks, development of grasslands, mudflats, coastal vegetation, fishing places etc.; Respondent No. 5/GPCB be directed to initiate prosecution against all the operators/occupiers of the shrimp farms as well as against the officials of the Department of Fisheries and District Collector, Surat for failure to protect the coastal ecology of the said villages.

5. In brief, the facts of the case are as follows:-

- (i) The applicant is an Environmental Scientist who has observed that the coastal areas which have been cited above, fall in CRZ area, where without registration, encroaching upon the Government land as well as village common land, the illegal commercial aquaculture activities are taking place which has resulted in loss of inter-tidal fishing places and small creeks. An area of 31.48 sq.km in and around Mindhola river has been encroached upon by illegal shrimp/aquaculture farms which is evident from a comparative analysis of Google time series Map of 2013 and

2019 which shows that the land use has changed on account of proliferation of shrimp/aquaculture farming in Choryasi Taluka, Surat District, affecting the coastal environment. A perusal of the Gujarat Coastal Zone Management Plan for the District Surat and the Google Image of 2020 would show that the illegal shrimp/aquaculture farming is going on along the Mindola River/Estuary within CRZ area in violation of CRZ Notification, 2011 and Coastal Aquaculture Act, 2005. Similarly, in the Village Aabhva, Choryasi Taluka, Surat District, Gujarat, an area of 8.79 sq.km. of coastal grassland has been encroached for the said farming. An area of 7.5 sq. Km. of Kediyaet Delta of River Tapi in Surat District has also been encroached for the said farming, destroying the mangroves in ecologically sensitive CRZ-1A area. A perusal of the Gujarat Coastal Zone Management Plan for the District Surat along-with the Google Image of 2020 would show that there are illegal shrimp/aquaculture farms within the CVCA (Critically Vulnerable Coastal Areas) and CRZ-1A of Village Rajgiri. These shrimp/aquaculture farms have constructed earthen bunds and approach roads 3-5m. wide without any permission, which has led to blocking of creeks and wetlands leading to loss of feeding grounds of migratory and resident species of birds and that these farms have no system for effluent treatment which is mandatory for all the farms above 5 ha.

(ii) Further, it is submitted that these illegal shrimp/aquaculture farms practiced semi-intensive shrimp farming, resulting in increased use of chemicals and fertilizers, which are released without treatment into coastal waters.

GROUNDNS FOR RELIEF WITH LEGAL PROVISIONS

(iii) The Hon'ble Supreme Court in *S. Jagannath vs. Union of India* (judgment dated 11.12.1996 in W.P (C) No. 561 of 1994) in the matter of public interest for stoppage of intensive and semi-intensive type of commercial shrimp farming in the ecologically fragile coastal areas and prohibition from using wetlands/wastelands for Shrimp farming, dealt with the issue of commercial aquaculture and its resultant effects on the coastal ecology in the country and passed far reaching directions and took judicial notice of the fact that shrimp industry was having deleterious effect on the coastal ecology as it was being undertaken in total disregard to its effect upon the environment, coastal communities, land etc. Therefore, it was held that before any shrimp industry or shrimp pond is permitted to be installed in the ecologically fragile coastal areas, it must pass through a strict environmental test. An institutional structure should be put in place to assess these aspects and a high powered "Authority" be set up under the Act to scrutinize each and every case from the environmental point of view and that there must be an environmental impact assessment before

permission is granted to install commercial shrimp farms. The conceptual framework of the assessment must be broad-based primarily concerning environmental degradation linked to shrimp farming and that it should also include the social impact on different population strata in the area. The quality of the assessment must be based on analytical study of superior technology and that the compensation should be given to those who are affected.

(iv) The Hon'ble Supreme Court had relied upon the 'Alagarswami report' outlining various aspects of the matter related to coastal aquaculture and recorded that in some regions, there was indiscriminate use of chemicals and pesticides, particularly, in shrimp farms and that various environmental and social problems were created by the said kind of farming. The construction of peripheral dykes was found to cause obstruction to the natural drain leading to flooding of hinterland villages, obstruction in the right side of passage for traditional fishermen who had to reach the sea from their Villages, salinization of coastal stretches affecting groundwater and destruction of mangrove areas. It was also noticed that highly intensive aquaculture farms led to increase in organic load and accumulation of metabolites in the water which are drained into the sea without any treatment there-by affecting the coastal stretches.

(v) The Hon'ble Supreme Court underlined that the shrimp culture industry cannot be permitted to be set up anywhere

in the coastal regulation zone under the CRZ Notification, 2011. The Hon'ble Court passed the following directions, which are extracted here-in below:-

"1. The Central Government shall constitute an authority under Section 3(3) of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 and shall confer on the said authority all the powers necessary to protect the ecologically fragile coastal areas, sea shore, water front and other coastal areas and specially to deal with the situation created by the shrimp culture industry in the coastal States/Union Territories. The authority shall be headed by a retired Judge of a High Court. Other members preferably with expertise in the field of aquaculture, pollution control and environment protection shall be appointed by the Central Government. The Central Government shall confer on the said authority the powers to issue directions under section 5 of the Act and for taking measures with respect to the matters referred to in clauses (v), (vi), (vii), (viii), (ix), (x) and (xii) of sub-section (2) of Section 3. The Central Government shall constitute the authority before 15-1-1997.

2. The authority so constituted by the Central Government shall implement "the Precautionary Principle" and "the Polluter Pays" principles

3. The shrimp culture industry/the shrimp ponds are covered by the prohibition contained in para 2(1) of the CRZ Notification. No shrimp culture pond can be constructed or set up within the coastal regulation zone as defined in the CRZ notification. This shall be applicable to all seas, bays, estuaries, creeks, rivers and backwaters. This direction shall not apply to traditional and improved traditional types of technologies far defined in Alagarswami report which are practiced in the coast low lying areas.

4. All aquaculture industries/shrimp culture industries/shrimp culture ponds operating/set up in the coastal regulation zone as defined under the CRZ Notification shall be demolished and removed from the said area before March 31, 1997. We direct the Superintendent of Police/Deputy Commissioner of Police and the District Magistrate/Collector of the area to enforce this direction and close/demolish all aquaculture industries/shrimp culture industries, shrimp culture ponds on or before 31-3-1997. A compliance report in this respect shall be filed in this Court by these authorities before 15-4-1997.

5. The farmers who are operating traditional and improved traditional systems of aquaculture may adopt improved technology for increased production productivity and return with prior approval of the "authority" constituted by this order.

6. The agricultural lands, salt pan lands, mangroves, wet lands, forest lands, land for village common purpose and the land meant for public purposes shall not be used/converted for construction of shrimp culture ponds.

7. No aquaculture industry/shrimp culture industry/shrimp culture Ponds shall be constructed/setup within 1000 meter of Chilka lake and Pulicat lake (including Bird Sanctuaries namely Yadurapattu and Nelapattu)

8. Aquaculture industry/shrimp culture industry/shrimp culture ponds already operating and functioning in the said area of 1000 meter shall be closed and demolished before March 31, 1997. We direct the Superintendent of Police/Deputy Commissioner of Police and the District Magistrate/Collector of the area to enforce this direction and close/demolish and aquaculture industries/shrimp culture industries, shrimp culture ponds on or before March 31, 1997. A compliance report in this respect shall be filed in this Court by these authorities before April 15, 1997.

9. Aquaculture industry/shrimp culture industry/shrimp culture ponds other than traditional and improved traditional may be set up/constructed outside the coastal regulation zone as defined by the CRZ notification and outside 1000 meter of Chilka and Pulicat lakes with the prior approval of the "authority" as constituted by this Court. Such industries which are already operating in the said areas shall obtain authorization from the "Authority" before 30-4-1997 failing which the industry concerned shall stop functioning with effect from the said date. We further direct that any aquaculture activity including intensive and semi-intensive which has the effect of causing salinity of soil, of the drinking water or wells and/or by the use of chemical feeds increases shrimp or Shrimp production with consequent increase in sedimentation which, on putrefaction is a potential health hazard, apart from causing silication turbidity of water courses and estuaries with detrimental implication on local fauna and flora shall not be allowed by the aforesaid Authority.

10. Aquaculture industry/shrimp culture industry/shrimp culture ponds which have been functioning/operating within the coastal regulation zone as defined by the CRZ Notification and within 1000 meter from Chilka and Puliket Lakes shall be liable to compensate the affected persons on the basis of the 'polluter pays' principle.

11. The authority shall, with the help of expert opinion and after giving opportunity to the concerned polluters assess the loss to the ecology/environment in the affected areas and shall compensate individuals/families who have suffered because of the pollution and shall assess the compensation to be paid to the said individuals/families. The authority shall further determine the compensation to be recovered from the polluters as cost of reversing the damaged environment. The authority shall lay down just and fair procedure for completing the exercise.

12. The authority shall compute the compensation under two heads namely, for reversing the ecology and for payment to individuals. A statement showing amount to be recovered, the names of the polluters from whom the amount is to be recovered, the amount to be recovered from each polluter, the persons to whom the compensation is to be paid and the amount payable to each of them shall be forwarded to the Collector/District Magistrate of the area concerned. The Collector/District

Magistrate shall recover the amount from the polluters, if necessary, as arrears of land revenue. He shall disburse the compensation awarded by the authority to the affected persons/families.

13. We further direct that any violation or non-compliance of the directions of this Court shall attract the provisions of the Contempt of Courts Act in addition.

14. The compensation amount recovered from the polluters shall be deposited under a separate head called "Environment Protection Fund" and shall be utilized for compensating the affected persons as identified by the authority and also for restoring the damaged environment.

15. The authority, in consultation with expert bodies like NEERI, Central Pollution Control Board, respective State Pollution Control Boards shall frame scheme/schemes for reversing the damage caused to the ecology and environment by pollution of the coastal States/Union Territories. The scheme/schemes so framed shall be executed by the respective State Governments/Union Territory Governments under the supervision of the Central Government. The expenditure shall be met from the "Environment Protection Fund" from other sources provided by the respective State Governments/Union Territory Governments and the Central Government."

(vi) It was in compliance with the above direction in the Judgment dated 11.12.1996 in W.P. (C) No. 561 of 1994 that the Central Government enacted the Coastal Aquaculture Authority Act, 2005 which provides for the establishment of the Coastal Aquaculture Authority for regulating the activities connected with coastal aquaculture in coastal areas and matters connected there-with or incidental there-to. The Section 11 of the said Act provides as follows:-

"11. (1) Subject to any guidelines issued by the Central Government under section 3, the Authority shall exercise the following powers and perform the following functions, namely:-

- (a) to make regulations for the construction and operation of aquaculture farms within the coastal areas;*
- (b) to inspect coastal aquaculture farms with a view to ascertaining their environmental impact caused by coastal aquaculture;*
- (c) to register coastal aquaculture farms;*

(d) to order removal or demolition of any coastal aquaculture farms which is causing pollution after hearing the occupier of the farm; and

(e) to perform such other functions as may be prescribed."

(vii) The above provisions make it clear that no coastal aquaculture can be carried out unless the farm is registered with the Coastal Aquaculture Authority.

(viii) The Section 2 (d) of the above Act defines 'coastal area' as the Coastal Regulation Zone, notified as such by the Government of India vide Notification dated 19.02.1991 and also included other areas as may be specified by Notification in the official Gazette. The Central Government Notification dated 23.01.2006 further extended the definition of coastal areas which is as follows:-

"Area of /and within a distance of two kilometers from the High Tide Line (HTL) of seas, rivers, creeks and backwaters."

Note :- 1. The delineating boundaries along rivers, creeks and backwaters shall be governed by the distance upto which the tidal effects are experienced and where salinity concentration is not less than 5 parts per thousand (ppt). For this purpose, the salinity measurements shall be made during the driest period of the year.

Note:- 2. In the case of ecologically fragile areas such as Chilka Lake and Pulicat Lake, the coastal area shall extend upto a distance of two kms. from the boundary of the lakes."

(ix) It is apparent from the above provision that Section 13 of the CAA Act, 2005 stipulates that no coastal aquaculture farm can be registered within 200 m of High Tide Line or in creeks, rivers, backwaters within the coastal regulation zone declared under "Environment Protection Act, 1986". Under the said Act of 2005 and Rules of the same, there are District Level Committees and State Level Committee constituted, empowering for the purpose of registration and

its renewal for the coastal aquaculture farm. As per the information available on the website of the Coastal Aquaculture Authority, the coastal aquaculture farms, which were earlier registered within Surat District, their registration had not been renewed. In the Surat District, the coastal aquaculture farms that exist, are not registered nor do they have any permission from the Revenue Department nor from the Gujarat Pollution Control Board (GPCB). Hence, all the activities are illegal. Beside the Surat Airport, a large number of coastal aquaculture farms are operating which are a threat to the Aircraft operation due to the bird hits.

6. After receipt of this application, the Tribunal had issued notices to the Respondents vide order dated 22.09.2020 and in response there-to, the **Respondent No. 6/Collector and Chairman, District CRZ Committee, Surat** submitted a report dated 05.11.2020. The relevant portion of which is as follows:-

“ 3. STUDY CARRIED OUT – PRESENT STATUS

It is decided to carry out measurement of all the shrimp farm located in the region, through DILR-Surat. The measurement work was started by DILR from 27/10/2020. This activity has been continuing till date.

The measurement activity is being carried out in the following villages of Majura and Choryasi Taluka of Surat District, where all the shrimp farms are located.

- Villages of Majura Taluka
 1. Dumas village
 2. Abhva village
 3. Khajod village
 4. Gabheni village
 5. Jiaiv village
 6. Bhimpor village
 7. Budiya village
- Villages of Choryasi Taluka

1. Umber village
2. Damka village
3. Rajgari village
4. Junagam (Shivrampura) village
5. Talangpor village

Among the above villages only village Dumas has forest land having area 45.57 Hactare, where no shrimp farms are existing.

The measurement work is carried out and progress thereof is depicted in tabular format as per table-1 below.

| Sr. No | Name of village | Measurement work completed of nos. of shrimp farms as on 01.11.2020 | Pending measurement work out of permitted shrimp farm | No. of shrimp farm | Total allotted area of shrimp farm (Area in Ha-sq-mt) | Remarks |
|--------|-----------------|---|---|--------------------|---|-----------|
| 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 1. | Abhva | 265 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 235 Hect. |
| | Total | 265 | - | - | - | 235 Hect. |

It has been alleged in the complaint, that there are number of shrimp farms located in various villages, which are likely to be operating without requisite permissions. Once the DILR measurements are completed and requisite maps are prepared, the illegally operating shrimp farms, if any, would be identified and appropriate actions would be initiated by the concern authorities.

4. PENDING WORK

- The measurement work carried out by the DILR would be completed for the remaining pending locations within three months (i.e. 31.01.2021).
- The measurement sheets for the entire work would be prepared and made available by DILR on 15.02.2021
- Identification of illegal shrimp farm, if any, would be completed and legal actions against the illegally operating shrimp farms would be initiated immediately thereafter.

5. CONCLUSION

Due to the prolonged rainy season, adverse field condition for survey work & prevailing pandemic situation, the basic work of measurement of shrimp farm including measurements of survey numbers, spreading over a huge area of approximately 2500 hectare, could not be completed in time. In view of this, the DILR measurement work and subsequent activities like identifying the illegal shrimp farms, violation with reference to their locations & size, CRZ status and other permissions could not be completed in

time. With likely completion of measurement work within next three months and after submission of map by DILR, the remaining work would be initiated immediately.

A complete report on the entire activity including action against the identified illegal shrimp farms & salt pans etc. would be submitted in the final report.”

7. The stand of **Respondent No. 4/Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB)** is as follows:-

- (i). We do not find the reply of the CPCB of any help in resolution of the present problem as nothing has been stated in this regard.

8. The **Respondent No. 6/ Collector and Chairman, District CRZ Committee, Surat** has also submitted affidavit dated 16.11.2021 where-in it is submitted that ‘several persons had illegally encroached upon the government land and started shrimp cultivation by setting up farms without obtaining the requisite permissions. The district administration has carried out local site inspection to gather information after taking assistance from the District Inspector of Land Record to demarcate the area where the shrimp farming is conducted by them after obtaining prior permission from the concerned statutory authorities. In the survey conducted till the submission of the said affidavit, it was found that license of structures of Government land had been encroached by shrimp farmers and some of them have transgressed the boundary limits and unauthorisedly expanded the farm area. Majority of the shrimp farming has been developed after occupying Government land illegally against whom they proceeded under provisions of the Revenue Code, 1972. Eviction was sought from the government land. It was believed *bona fide* by the district administration that on

removal of the occupant from the government land, the illegal activity being carried out over the government land will also stop automatically. The eviction proceedings initiated by the district administration came to be challenged before Hon'ble High Court of Gujarat in Special Civil Application Nos. 2222 of 2021 and 2224 of 2021, where-in surprisingly, one of the grounds urged before the Hon'ble High Court for challenging the eviction notice was, pendency of the present application before this Tribunal and *ad-interim* order came to be passed on 03.03.2021, directing maintenance of status-quo qua the pond or water body till the next date of hearing.

9. It was further ordered that the petitioners in the Writ Petition "shall not carry out any shrimp farming activity including removing shrimps from the pond/water body". Despite the said order, the Petitioners in the said water body continued to carry out shrimp farming activity. Some of the Petitioners and several other persons who had not approached the Hon'ble High Court but were seeking reliance on the injunction granted by Hon'ble High Court in respect of eviction, started doing shrimp cultivation over the encroached land. A reply had been placed before the Hon'ble High Court pointing the violation to the interim order but the matter was still pending before the Hon'ble High Court with next listing date for further hearing on 17.11.2021. Later, no current status has been submitted by any of the parties before us.

10. Further, it is submitted that due to *status quo* order, the local administration had held back further action of dismantling against the persons who were found to be doing cultivation of shrimps over

encroached land. The pendency of the said Petition, particularly, the *status quo* order would not prevent the local administration from initiating action for stopping the illegal activity on the ground that such activity would cause pollution, the same being violative of the provisions of environmental laws as the action challenged before the Hon'ble High Court was under the revenue laws for eviction against the persons who had illegally encroached over government land. The Hon'ble High Court did not stop the statutory authorities from taking lawful action to protect the environment or for preventing continued violation of environment and damage to ecology, therefore, stopping the illegal shrimp farming activity will not be contrary to the order of the Hon'ble High Court.

11. The District Administration had started the work of stopping the illegal shrimp farming in the ecologically sensitive areas, particularly, in the basins of rivers Tapi and Mindola and the coastal shoreline. A village-wise details of the illegal or unauthorized shrimp farms were noticed by the district administration where-in shrimp cultivation activity has been stopped by the administration. Post filing of the last affidavit, the district administration has continued surveys and has taken the following actions:

“Village Shivrampur, Taluka Choryasi

About 100 illegal or unauthorized shrimp farms had come up over an area of 1,95,656 square meters of land bearing Survey No. 102/1/1/b, which admeasures 8,09,290 square meters. Local Authority has stopped cultivation in all these 100 shrimp farms.

Village Hajira, Taluka Choryasi

About 10 illegal or unauthorised shrimp farms had come up over an area of 14,810 square meters of land bearing Survey No. 441. About 30-35 farms were found cultivating shrimps over area admeasuring

50,842 square meters of Survey No. 442. About 50 shrimp cultivation farms had been developed over area admeasuring 5,17,261 square meters of Survey No. 445.

Shrimp cultivation has been totally stopped in each of these farms.

Village Aabhva, Taluka Majura

The survey revealed about 96 illegal or unauthorised shrimp farms spread over an area of 6,65,781 square meters of land bearing Survey No. 505, which admeasures 11,08,850 square meters.

Action has been taken by the district administration, and presently shrimp farming activity has been stopped in 41 farms. An area of 2,81,875 square meters has been cleared of illegal shrimp farming activity.

There were 2 shrimp farms spread over an area of 7,082 square meters of land bearing Survey No. 506, which admeasures 7,082 square meters.

Presently, no shrimp farming activity is being carried out in any of these two farms. Both the farms are dry and empty.

Village Khajod, Taluka Majura

There existed about 622 illegal or unauthorised shrimp farms spread over an area of 46,75,999 square meters of land bearing Survey No. 177, which admeasures 1,06,54,500 square meters.

The district administration has been able to stop farming activity in 223 farms of out these 622 farms.

Dumas, Taluka Madura

There are about 521 shrimp farms spread over an area of 23,71,011 square meters of land bearing Survey No. 1006, which admeasures 30,60,110 square meters.

About 64 shrimp farms were noticed spread over an area of 3,96,071 square meters of land bearing Survey No. 937/1/A, which admeasures 517595 square meters.

Local authorities have been able to completely stop farming activity in each of these 64 farms.

Village Bhimpre, Taluka Majura

There existed about 20 shrimp farms on land bearing Survey No. 55 paiki / and 54.

Presently, shrimp farming activity is being carried out only in 03 farms. No activity is being carried out in the other 17 farms, and they are currently dry. Action is being taken to stop the farming activity in the remaining 03 farms.”

12. It is further submitted that the District Administration is committed to stop the unauthorised shrimp farming and that whatever delay has happened, is not intentional or deliberate, the

same occurred due to the outbreak of pandemic and the local administration shall also take penal action against the persons responsible for flouting the law and causing damage to the environment by their unlawful activity.

13. The stand of the **Respondent No. 3/Gujarat Coastal Zone Management Authority** is as follows:-

- (i). No specific relief has been prayed by the applicant as no person has been named in the application who indulged in illegal aquaculture activity nor has any specific area been given nor has the nature and extent of the damage been given, therefore, the pleading are vague in this regard and on this count, the Applicant is not maintainable.
- (ii). Nevertheless, the core issue being of vital environmental importance, the application is not being contested on the ground of its maintainability in law.
- (iii). It is further submitted that the illegal shrimp farming activity has been noticed and observed in several areas, by the authorities and all possible steps have been taken to curb and stop the illegal aquaculture activity, which is evident from the affidavit of Respondent No. 6/District Magistrate, Surat. The District Collectorate has conducted regular survey over government land, especially in the areas of river basin/ coastline for taking action against such illegal farms. The answering Respondent shall examine the land survey maps prepared

by the District Inspector of Land Records and understand the location whether the illegal shrimp farms had mushroomed, which have now been removed by the district administration. The *situs* of these illegal farms shall be superimposed on the coastal zone maps available with the Authority to determine whether any such farming activities were being illegally carried out within 200 meters from High Tide Line (HTL) and/or in or over other prohibited area and the site panchnama shall be prepared by the district administration which shall help understand as to whether any prohibited act/action/activity has been performed like construction of bund etc. The answering Respondent assures that necessary action shall be taken at their end and would be ready to receive any meaningful and reasonable suggestions.

14. The Applicant has filed rejoinder affidavit dated 27.09.2022, where-in it is stated that despite acknowledgement on the part of Respondent No. 6 that there were illegal occupants indulging in shrimp farming illegally, the particulars of the occupier, extent of the shrimp farm, period of operation of the shrimp farming is not given. Merely admission of encroachment of government land for conducting illegal shrimp farming activity would not suffice for taking action. The details which are stated to have been given from the side of Respondent No. 6, should be presented in Tabular form before this Tribunal for better assessment of the situation.

15. It can be seen from their affidavit that no information as regards Villages Damks, Rajgari and Talangpor is provided, though the survey numbers with respect to those places have been mentioned. The affidavit contains that Respondent no. 6 had initiated action under Gujarat Land Revenue Code but no action appears to have been initiated under CRZ Notification despite the District Magistrate heading the District CRZ Committee. The Gujarat Pollution Control Board (GPCB) also has not initiated any action for violation of Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1974 despite highly polluted effluents being found discharged by the shrimp-farms into the sea. The operation of shrimp farms is being done without consent being obtained under the said Act. No steps are taken for recovery of environmental compensation on the basis of “Polluter Pays” principle.

16. As per the guidelines issued under Coastal Aquaculture Authority (CAA), it is mandatory for shrimp farm above 5 ha. to install effluent treatment plant and that standards for treatment of waste-water discharged from the aquaculture farms, hatcheries, feed mills and processing units are required to be adhered for shrimp farms. All this is not being done. The list of registered shrimp farms is provided on the website of CAA. As on 17.11.2021, there were 64 registered Aquaculture farms in Surat District, the details of which are as follows:-

- i. Koba Village: 2 shrimp farms
- ii. Delasa village: 4
- iii. Kudiyana village: 3
- iv. Lavachha: 17

- v. Mandroi: 19
- vi. Mor: 9
- vii. Saras:7
- viii. Dandi:3

17. It can be seen that none of the Villages that come within Chorasi and Majura Taluk, are having registered shrimp farms and as such they are illegal. Action needs to be initiated under environmental laws against the shrimp farms, therefore, details of the violators and other particulars are required to be compiled.

18. The illegal shrimp farming is still going on. There is no bar to initiate action under environmental laws despite Special Civil Application Nos. 2222 of 2021 and 2224 of 2021 being pending. The bunds constructed have to be demolished. In Village Dumas, Taluka Majura, there are 521 shrimp farms present over Survey No. 1006 and in the present additional affidavit of Respondent No. 6 dated 16.11.2021, it is mentioned that there are 944 shrimps farms on the said Survey Number but the same has not been clarified as to whether 521 shrimp farms are included in the figure of 944. There is no mention made as to shrimp farming activity over Survey No. 937/1/A, where-in 64 shrimp farms were identified. No particulars as to the number of ponds of the existing villages has been given. As per the additional affidavit dated 16.11.2021, it was stated that 96 illegal shrimp farms existed in Village Aabhva and shrimp farming was stopped in 41 farms, though in the next affidavit, it is not stated whether the rest of the shrimp farms have been stopped.

19. Further, it is submitted that in Village UMBER, Taluka Choryasi, an area of approximately 3 m is said to have been cleared; the rest has not been cleared; 14 motors and surface aerators were seized.

20. We have heard the arguments of the Learned Counsel for the parties and perused the record.

21. The main thrust of the argument of the Learned Counsel for the Applicant is that whatever has been stated from the side of District Collector/Respondent No. 6 and the Learned Counsel for the Respondent No. 3/GCZMA, makes it clear that it is acknowledged on their part that the illegal shrimp farming is going on in the area which has been stated in the application as, by and large, no person indulging in the said activity, has obtained permission from the Authority under Coastal Aquaculture Authority Act, 2005 read with the Rules framed under the said Act. Much emphasis has been laid that the reports which have emerged from the side of Respondent No. 6, do not give clear picture in respect of all the shrimp farms which are existing in the said area as to who are the owners/occupiers of the area which was very much needed to be disclosed on their part because it is well acknowledged on the part of the Respondents that this activity must stop as the same is admittedly illegal activity in the light of the laws cited above as well as the Judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court and the Judgments which have been pointed out of this Tribunal as well. Then the only thing which remains to be done is that the noble aim which the Respondents appear to have in their mind that this illegal activity must be stopped forth-with needs to be

carried out for which there is no obstruction despite the matter being under consideration before the Hon'ble High Court because that is with respect to the eviction of the illegal occupiers/holders of the land. It is pressed from the Applicant's side that a definite timeline should be laid down for this task to be achieved i.e. it should be ensured that all the illegal farming is stopped in the said area cited above which is highly ecologically sensitive as it admittedly falls in CRZ area where such kind of activities are impermissible.

22. The Applicant's Counsel has also drawn our attention to the order dated 26th May, 2022 passed by the Principal Bench of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 82/2016 where-in in a similar matter, several directions have been issued which are contained at page 303 to 305 of the paper book and states that in the light of the said Judgment, another Judgment and order has been passed in a similar case by the Southern Zone Bench of this Tribunal at Chennai on 18.07.2022 in O.A. No. 91/2022 and in that also, directions have been issued which are contained in para 46 of the Judgment.

23. Having highlighted these Judgments, it is prayed that this Tribunal may also pass an order of the same kind in modified form. In case, a Committee is constituted then the Applicant should also be directed to be made member of that Committee which may be directed to supervise, to ensure that the illegal shrimp farming is brought to an end and the area in question is restored to its original condition and the compensation is awarded to all such persons who have been adversely affected.

24. From the side of Respondent No. 3/GCZMA, it has been merely argued that whatever actions have been taken till now by the Respondent No. 6/District Collector-Surat, placed before us through an affidavit in the form of report, points out that already much work has been done with respect to stopping the illegal shrimp farming. He made clear that the area which is suffering from this illegal farming is too large and therefore, it would take some time before the said illegal activity is finally brought to an end and that the authorities are open for suggestion from the Applicant and other like minded persons.

25. We find that there does not appear to be any dispute with respect to the fact that the illegal shrimp farming is going on in the area which has been highlighted by the Learned Counsel for the Applicant in the present application and that it is also acknowledged on the side of the Respondents that the said illegal shrimp farming activity has to be stopped at the earliest. We are also convinced after perusal of the affidavit of Respondent Nos. 3 & 6 that, to a considerable extent, action has already been taken at their end in that direction but lot of work is yet to be done in this regard.

26. As suggested by both the sides that directions may be issued by the Tribunal along the line which have been issued by the Principal Bench of this Tribunal in O.A. No. 82/2016, we deem it proper to dispose of this application with following directions:-

- (i). A Monitoring Committee shall be constituted comprising one member each of
 - a) Additional Chief Secretary of Department of Forest and Environment, State of Gujarat;

- b) District Collector, Surat;
 - c) Regional Officer, Gujarat Pollution Control Board (GPCB); and
 - d) Member Secretary, Gujarat Coastal Zone Management Authority (GCZMA).
- (ii). The Gujarat Pollution Control Board (GPCB) shall be the nodal agency for coordination and logistical support.
- (iii). The said committee shall hold a meeting on monthly basis to ensure that all the illegal shrimp farms located in the region, which has been stated in the application of the applicant are identified, their occupants/owners are also identified and in case they are not found to have valid license to conduct the shrimp farming from appropriate authority, such activity shall be ensured to be stopped immediately. Minutes of Meeting shall be posted on GCZMA website which shall be accessible to all stake holders.
- (iv). The Committee shall also assess as to whether any environmental damage has occurred and take necessary steps for its quantification and realization.
- (v). The Committee through GCZMA is directed to take into consideration the views of all the stake holders as well as of the Applicant and whatever suggestions are received from them, may also be taken into consideration while taking action in this matter.
- (vi). The Committee shall conclude this task within a period six months and report shall be submitted in the Registry of this Tribunal within 15 days there-after.


27. A copy of this order/Judgment be communicated forth-with to the members of the above constituted Committee for compliance.

28. Accordingly, we dispose of this application. If any grievance remains there-after, the aggrieved persons may approach us for redressal of their grievances.

Dinesh Kumar Singh, JM

Dr. Vijay Kulkarni, EM

November 07, 2022
Original Application No.57/2020(WZ)
I.A. No. 04/2021(WZ)
P.kr.



**BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL
WESTERN ZONE BENCH, PUNE**

I.A. NO. OF 2026

IN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 87 OF 2025 (WZ)

IN THE MATTER OF:-

Rajendra Shah

...Applicant

VERSUS

State of Gujarat & Ors.

...Respondents

**APPLICATION FOR CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING THE
OBJECTIONS TO THE COMPREHENSIVE REPORT OF THE JOINT
COMMITTEE**

To,

The Hon'ble Chairperson

And the Hon'ble Members of

The Hon'ble National Green Tribunal.

The humble application of the
Applicant above-named;

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH THAT:

1. I am the applicant in the captioned Original Application. This Hon'ble Tribunal, vide order dated 20.11.2025, granted four weeks' time to file the objections to the comprehensive report of the joint committee. However, due to the function in the family of the Applicant and also of old age of the Applicant, the Applicant could not provide necessary information to his Advocate within time. Upon



providing information to the Advocate, the Advocate had called for clarifications, which the Applicant has provided. Due to the lengthiness of the documents annexed to the report, some more time is consumed to scrutinize and analyze the said documents. Therefore, more time than permitted by this Hon'ble Tribunal vide order dated 20.11.2025 is consumed, which is neither deliberate nor intentional. Therefore, this Hon'ble Tribunal may condone the said delay and consider the objections being filed by the Applicant.

2. The present application is filed *bona fide*.
3. In view of the above, the Applicant prays this Hon'ble Tribunal:
 - a. To admit and allow the present application and condone the delay caused in filing the Objections to the Comprehensive Report of the Joint Committee.
 - b. And pass or grant any other/further order or relief deemed fit in the interest of justice.

AND FOR THIS ACT OF KINDNESS, THE APPLICANT SHALL, AS IN DUTY BOUND, EVER PRAY.

APPLICANT

VERIFICATION

I, Rajendra Shah, aged 72 years, R/o. E-502, Sadguru Vatika, Airport Road, Rajkot-360001, Gujarat, verify that the contents of the above application are correct and true to the best of my knowledge and belief nothing material has been concealed therefrom.

Date: 30.01.2026

Place: Pune

APPLICANT



**BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL
WESTERN ZONE BENCH, PUNE**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 87 OF 2025 (WZ)

IN THE MATTER OF:-

Rajendra Shah

...Applicant

VERSUS

State of Gujarat & Ors.

...Respondents

AFFIDAVIT

I, Rajendra Shah, aged 72 years, R/o. E-502, Sadguru Vatika, Airport Road, Rajkot-360001, Gujarat, do hereby on solemn affirmation state as under:


1. That I am the applicant in the captioned Original Application.
2. That I have gone through the contents of the accompanying application and I state that whatever stated therein is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief, and nothing material has been concealed therefrom.

DEPONENT

VERIFICATION

Verified at Rajkot on this 29th day of January, 2026 that the contents of the above affidavit are correct and true to the best of my knowledge and belief nothing material has been concealed therefrom.

L.H.T.



DEPONENT



Serive - Objection to the Comprehensive Report of the Joint Committee - O.A. No. 87 of 2025 (WZ)

2 messages

Rushabh Kapadia <rnkapadialaw@gmail.com>

Fri, Jan 30, 2026 at 2:46 PM

To: maulik@nanavatico.com, litigation@nclegal.in, bhattparth@hotmail.com, commi-fisheries@guajrat.gov.in

To,
Mr. Parth H. Bhatt, Advocate (for Respondent No. 1, 2)
Principal Secretary, Fisheries Department, Gujarat State (Respondent No. 3)
Mr. Maulik Nanavati, Advocate (for Respondent No. 4)

Dear ma'am/sir,

Please find attached a copy of the Objections in the captioned matter. You are requested to acknowledge the receipt of the same. Thank you.

Regards,
Rushabh N. Kapadia
Advocate

 **Objections - OA 87 of 2025 (WZ) - NGT_pagenumber.pdf**
6474K

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com>

Fri, Jan 30, 2026 at 2:46 PM

To: rnkapadialaw@gmail.com



Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to **commi-fisheries@guajrat.gov.in** because the domain guajrat.gov.in couldn't be found. Check for typos or unnecessary spaces and try again.

[LEARN MORE](#)

The response was:

DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of guajrat.gov.in responded with code NXDOMAIN Domain name not found: guajrat.gov.in For more information, go to <https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain>

280**49**

Final-Recipient: rfc822; commi-fisheries@guajrat.gov.in

Action: failed

Status: 5.1.2

Diagnostic-Code: smtp; DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of guajrat.gov.in responded with code NXDOMAIN

Domain name not found: guajrat.gov.in For more information, go to <https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain>

[p=BadRcptDomain](https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain)

Last-Attempt-Date: Fri, 30 Jan 2026 01:16:42 -0800 (PST)

 **noname**
4K

NOTE

To,
The Registrar,
National Green Tribunal,
Western Zone Bench, Pune

Sir,

No other email address of the Principal Secretary, Department of Fisheries, Gujarat State is found nor is any Advocate appears to have entered appearance on behalf of the said party, upon whom the service could have been effected. Hence, the said party is not served though the email sent on the available email address is returned back. Thank you.

Regards,



Rushabh N. Kapadia
Advocate for the Applicant